

FIREROPTO-1370  
(REV. 11-2000)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER

42390.P8351

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5)

10/019882

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/CN00/00158	INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE 15 June 2000 (15.06.00)	PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED
-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------

TITLE OF INVENTION	Speaker Adaptation Using Weighted Feedback
--------------------	--------------------------------------------

APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US	YAN, Yonghong
---------------------------	---------------

Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:

- This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
- This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUENT submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
- This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)). The submission must include items (5), (6), (9) and (21) indicated below.
- The US has been elected by the expiration of 19 months from the priority date (Article 31).
- A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))
  - is attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau).
  - has been communicated by the International Bureau.
  - is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).
- An English language translation of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).
  - is attached hereto.
  - has been previously submitted under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).
- Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3))
  - are attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau).
  - have been communicated by the International Bureau.
  - have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
  - have not been made and will not be made.
- An English language translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
- An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).
- An English language translation of the annexes of the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).

**Items 11 to 20 below concern document(s) or information included:**

- An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.
- A FIRST preliminary amendment.
- A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.
- A substitute specification.
- A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.
- A computer-readable form of the sequence listing in accordance with PCT Rule 13ter.2 and 35 U.S.C. 1.821 - 1.825.
- A second copy of the published international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).
- A second copy of the English language translation of the international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).
- Other items or information:  
**Return Postcard**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail Label # EL 546 267 088 US in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner of Patents, US Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231, Box PCT.

Signature

12/27/01

Date

U.S. APPLICATION NO. 10/01902 INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/CN00/00158		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 42390.P8351																									
<p><b>21. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted:</b></p> <p><b>BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492 (a) (1) - (5)):</b>      Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482)      nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO      and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO ..... \$1,040.00</p> <p>International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to      USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO ..... 890.00</p> <p>International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO      but international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO ..... \$740.00</p> <p>International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO      but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) ..... \$710.00</p> <p>International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO      and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) ..... \$100.00</p>																											
<b>ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =</b>																											
<p>Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th>CLAIMS</th> <th>NUMBER FILED</th> <th>NUMBER EXTRA</th> <th>RATE</th> <th>\$</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Total claims</td> <td>30</td> <td>- 20 =</td> <td>\$18.00</td> <td>\$ 180.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Independent claims</td> <td>4</td> <td>- 3 =</td> <td>\$84.00</td> <td>\$ 84.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"><b>MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable)</b></td> <td>\$280.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"><b>TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =</b></td> <td>\$ 1,304.00</td> </tr> </table>			CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE	\$	Total claims	30	- 20 =	\$18.00	\$ 180.00	Independent claims	4	- 3 =	\$84.00	\$ 84.00	<b>MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable)</b>				\$280.00	<b>TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =</b>				\$ 1,304.00
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE	\$																							
Total claims	30	- 20 =	\$18.00	\$ 180.00																							
Independent claims	4	- 3 =	\$84.00	\$ 84.00																							
<b>MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable)</b>				\$280.00																							
<b>TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =</b>				\$ 1,304.00																							
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above are reduced by 1/2.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td><b>SUBTOTAL =</b></td> <td>\$ 1,304.00</td> </tr> </table>			<b>SUBTOTAL =</b>	\$ 1,304.00																							
<b>SUBTOTAL =</b>	\$ 1,304.00																										
<p>Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td><b>TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =</b></td> <td>\$ 1,304.00</td> </tr> </table>			<b>TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =</b>	\$ 1,304.00																							
<b>TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =</b>	\$ 1,304.00																										
<p>Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.24(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property + \$ 0.00</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td><b>TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =</b></td> <td>\$ 1,304.00</td> </tr> </table>			<b>TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =</b>	\$ 1,304.00																							
<b>TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =</b>	\$ 1,304.00																										
<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td><b>Amount to be refunded:</b></td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>charged:</b></td> <td>\$</td> </tr> </table>			<b>Amount to be refunded:</b>	\$	<b>charged:</b>	\$																					
<b>Amount to be refunded:</b>	\$																										
<b>charged:</b>	\$																										
<p>a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A check in the amount of \$ <u>1,304.00</u> to cover the above fees is enclosed.</p> <p>b. <input type="checkbox"/> Please charge my Deposit Account No. <u>02-2666</u> in the amount of \$ _____ to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.</p> <p>c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. <u>02-2666</u>. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.</p> <p>d. <input type="checkbox"/> Fees are to be charged to a credit card. <b>WARNING:</b> Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.</p>																											
<p><b>NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137 (a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.</b></p>																											
<p>SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:  <b>Mr. John P. Ward</b>  <b>BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR &amp; ZAFMANN LLP</b>  <b>12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor</b>  <b>Los Angeles, CA 90025-1030</b>  <b>United States of America</b></p>																											
<p><b>SIGNATURE</b>    <b>NAME</b>  <u>John P. Ward</u>  <b>REGISTRATION NUMBER</b>  <u>40,216</u></p>																											

531 Rec'd PCT 27 DEC 2001

## SPEAKER ADAPTATION USING WEIGHTED FEEDBACK

**Background**

5

Technical Field of the Invention: The present invention relates to speech recognition systems and, more particularly, to speaker adaptation using feedback.

10

Background Art: Speech recognition systems using only Speaker Independent (SI) models are very sensitive to different speakers due to speaker characteristic variations. SI models typically use a Hidden Markov Model (HMM). Speaker adaptation is a process to adapt a SI model to a speaker dependent (SD) model to capture the physical characteristics of a given speaker. Speaker adaptation techniques can be used in supervised and unsupervised mode. In supervised mode, the correct transcription is known, while in unsupervised mode, no correct transcription is available.

15

For reliable and robust speaker adaptation, large amounts of adaptation data are often required in order to cover the linguistic units of a given language. However for most practical applications, only a limited amount of adaptation data is available. Efficient use of the adaptation data becomes extremely important. The traditional adaptation schemes treat all the adaptation data indiscriminately, which results in some parts of the adaptation data being relatively under-trained or under-weighted. Usually the under represented words are more unlikely to be recognized by the decoder.

20

The traditional adaptation scheme is as follows:

25

1. Given some adaptation enrollment data and a SI model, collect statistics on the enrollment data and perform speaker adaptation on the SI model.

2. Decoding the test utterances with the adapted acoustic model.

Such a scheme uses the enrollment data only once and does not incorporate any feedback from decoding. It is fast in practice, but does not always provide good performance.

30

Approaches to speaker adaptation include those described in J. L. Gauvain et al. "Maximum a posteriori estimation for multivariate Gaussian mixture observations of Markov Chain," IEEE Trans. On Speech and Audio Processing, Vol. 2, pp. 291-298; L.R. Bahl, et al., "A New Algorithm for the estimation of Hidden Markov Model

Parameters," IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, pp. 493-496, 1988; and C.L. Leggetter et al., "Maximum likelihood linear regression for speaker adaptation of continuous density HMMs," Computer Speech and Language, Vol.9, pp. 171-185, 1995. In some of these approaches, errors included in  
5 recognizing a particular speaker's utterances are not considered. In a "corrective training" approach, such as in the above-recited L. R. Bahl et al. article, an error in recognition of the utterance may be considered, but a very complicated technique is used to compensate for it. Background articles on expectation maximization (EM) maximum likelihood (ML) are provided in the articles A.P. Dempster, et al.,  
10 "Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm," Journal of the Royal statistical Society, Series B 39, pp. 1-38, 1977; and N. Laird, "The EM algorithm," Handbook of Statistics, vol. 9. Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. 1993.

An iterative technique in speech recognition is to recognize utterances based on  
an SI model and to create an SD model therefrom and then to apply the SD model to  
15 recognizing the utterances to create a more refined SD model and so forth.

There is a need for improved techniques for speaker adaptation. Such improved  
techniques are described in this disclosure.

#### Brief Description of the Drawings

The invention will be understood more fully from the detailed description given  
20 below and from the accompanying drawings of embodiments of the invention which,  
however, should not be taken to limit the invention to the specific embodiments  
described, but are for explanation and understanding only.

FIG. 1 is a partial flow and partial block diagram representation of some  
25 embodiments of the invention.

FIG. 2 illustrates a segment (e.g., a phone) of the utterances which includes  
multiple frames.

FIG. 3 illustrates a section (e.g., word) of the utterances which includes multiple  
segments (e.g., phones).

FIG. 4 is partial flow and partial block diagram representation similar to a  
30 portion of FIG. 1, but may allow multiple feedback passes.

FIG. 5 is a high level schematic block diagram representation of a computer system that may be used in connection with some embodiments of the invention.

FIG. 6 is a high level schematic representation of a hand-held computer system that may be used in connection with some embodiments of the invention.

5

### Detailed Description

The present invention involves speaker adaptation whereby characteristics of an SI model can be adapted through consideration of adaptation enrollment data from a particular speaker to create an SD model. More particularly, the adaptation enrollment data is weighted according to errors detected in the recognized utterances. For those words (or utterances in the enrollment data set) that are not well learnt by speaker adaptation, as indicated by misrecognizing those words, the invention provides a way to incorporate the decoding feedback so that these words can be better adapted. When only limited amounts of enrollment data are available, this scheme of iterative bootstrapping makes better use of that limited data. The scheme can be extended to the unsupervised adaptation where references may contain errors. In some embodiments, an iterative adaptation scheme dynamically adjusts enrollment data to incorporate feedback from decoding on the enrollment data.

In the following disclosure, the term "some embodiments" or "other embodiments" means that a particular feature, structure, or characteristic described in connection with the embodiments is included in at least some embodiments, but not necessarily all embodiments, of the invention. The various appearances "some embodiments" are not necessarily all referring to the same embodiments. In the following disclosure, when the term phone is used, it could include all phonemes in a particular language or less than all the phonemes. To reduce complexity, some speech recognition systems do not recognize every phoneme in a particular language.

The following four parts are used in some embodiments of the invention. A fifth part is used in still other embodiments.

1. Denote M as the initial SI (speaker independent) model and A as the enrollment data set.
2. Perform speech recognition on data set A based on model M.

## 3. Adjust A to A' according to the decoding results from part 2.

Emphasizing or de-emphasizing certain parts of A with weights based on these results. The emphasizing/de-emphasizing is achieved by assigning a weight to each word in the adaptation data. How to calculate the weight will be discussed below.

## 5 4. Adapt model M to M' using enrollment data A'.

## 5 5. (optional) Repeat parts 3 and 4 with the updated M'.

For example, FIG. 1 is represents some embodiments of the invention in a diagram which is partially a flow diagram and partially a block diagram. A dashed line represents a dividing line between acts occurring during an adaptation mode and a 10 recognition (decoding) mode. The recognition phase occurs after the SD model is created in the adaptation phase. Note that microphone 14, processing block 18, and recognition block 22 are shown above and below the dashed line and may represent the same blocks at different times (before and after the conclusion of adaptation). In this disclosure, a block may be hardware or a combination of hardware and software.

15 Referring to FIG. 1 above the dashed line, a speaker input such as microphone 14 receives utterances of a particular speaker. The utterances are converted to digital signals U and may be otherwise processed according to well know techniques by processing block 18. Note that microphone 14 may be adjacent to the computer system that performs the acts illustrated in FIG. 1 or microphone may be remote from it. For 20 example, microphone 14 may be in a telephone or remote other system. Processing block 18 provides the processed utterances U to a recognition block 22 and a weighting block 30. Utterances U may be stored in a wave file as a collection of utterances. Of course, there may be spaces of silence or lack of speech between the sections of the utterances.

25 Recognition block 22 produces a recognized (hypothesized) phone string H based on the utterances U and an SI model. In a comparison and weight calculating block 26, recognized phone string H is compared with a reference (true) phone string R. The reference phone string is what the speaker is requested to read. A word-phone dictionary may be used to convert the reference word string into phones. Of course, 30 there may be silences or lack of speech in the recognized and reference phone strings.

2026012886700T

Differences between the recognized and reference phone string can be determined in a variety of ways. In some embodiments, speech features in the recognized and reference phone strings are compared on a frame by frame level. Merely as an example, the frames may be on the order of about 25 milliseconds (ms), although various other frame durations could be used. A phone may be around 200 milliseconds, although various other phone durations are possible. Accordingly, in some embodiments, there may be on the order of 10 frames per phone. A force alignment algorithm may be used to mark the time or place each phone (or word) happens in the utterances. The frames may contain a Gaussian feature vector.

For example, referring to FIG. 2, portions of the reference string and recognized string for a series of frames are illustrated. The frames are arbitrarily labeled F1, F2, F3, ..., FX-2, FX-1, and FX, wherein there may be several frames between frames F3 and FX-2. The portions in a frame may be a feature extraction. Each portion (e.g., feature extraction) has characteristics (e.g., Gaussian), which are labeled "C." The particular number after the "C" is arbitrarily chosen. For example, in frame F1, both the reference and recognized strings have characteristics C4. Accordingly, the comparison indicates that the characteristics of the reference and recognized strings are the same (S) for frame F1. In frame F2, the characteristic of the reference string is C15 and the characteristic of the recognized string is C11. Accordingly, the comparison indicates that the characteristics of the reference and recognized strings are the different (D) for frame F2. (Merely as an example, S could be "0" and D could be "1," or various other schemes could be used.) Likewise, in frames F3, FX-2, and FX-1, the characteristics are the same and in frame FX, the characteristics are different.

In some embodiments, a certain number of frames forms a segment. The segment may be a phone or other portion of the utterance. Referring to FIG. 2, as an example, a segment 1 may be formed of frames F1, F2, F3, ..., FX-2, FX-1, FX. As illustrated in FIG. 3, a section of the utterances may be formed of multiple segments. In some embodiments, the section is a word, although the invention is not so limited. Segments of silence or lack of speech can be used to indicate the boundary of a word.

If a word includes a phone having an error (the characteristics of a frame of the reference and hypothesis in the word are different (see FIG. 2)), then the word is considered an error word, and the weight of the word is calculated.

The weights assigned to the sections of utterances U may be calculated in block 5 26 through various techniques. The following are some examples, although the invention is not limited to the examples.

In some embodiments, the weight value for each word is estimated from the likelihood information of the references (the true input word string) and hypotheses (the word string decoded by the recognizer, may contain errors).

10 1. Run a force alignment program on the reference stream to get statistics of the references.

2. Decode the utterance to get statistics of the 1-best hypothesis.

15 3. Align the 1-best hypothesis with the reference sentence to obtain the error words.

4. Calculate the average likelihood difference per frame according to the equation (1) as follows:

$$Ln = \frac{H_L^n}{H_e^n - H_b^n} - \frac{R_L^n}{R_e^n - R_b^n} \quad (1),$$

where  $H_L^n$  is the log likelihood of hypothesis word n,  $H_b^n$  is the beginning frame index (in time), and  $H_e^n$  is the end frame index.  $R_L^n$ ,  $R_b^n$  and  $R_e^n$  are the reference counter parts. Of course, the invention is not limited to the details of equation (1). Note that equation (1) involves likelihoods, which are not necessarily probabilities. Equation (1) could be modified to involve probabilities.

20 Next, the weight value  $W_i$  for misrecognized words of a particular speaker "i" is obtained by averaging  $Ln$  over all the misrecognized words (error words) according to equation (2) as follows:

$$W_i = \frac{1}{m} * \sum_{n=1}^m |Ln| \quad (2),$$

25 wherein m may be the number of misrecognized words. Of course, the invention is not

limited to the details of equation (2). In equation (2), the sections are for words (e.g., "W" refers to words), but the sections could be something else. (See FIG. 3.)

Note that in embodiments using equation (2), each misrecognized word may have the same averaged weight. Alternatively, different words could have different weights through applying the result of equation (1) more directly.

Once the weights are calculated, the weights and places of error are provided to block 30. The reference string (or at least the portions of the reference string corresponding to the errors in the recognized stream) is communicated to block 30. In block 30, the utterance U is marked with the errors and corresponding weights are noted. The adaptation enrollment data (E) includes the marked utterances with corresponding weights ( $wU$ ) and at least those portions of the reference stream ( $R^*$ ) that correspond to the errors in the utterance. The SI model and SD model may be Gaussian mixtures. The wavefile U may be transformed (e.g., through an FFT) from the time domain to the frequency domain. The weight w may be expressed as a floating point number.

In adaptation box 34, the adaptation enrollment data is applied with the SI model to create the SD model according to known techniques, except that the enrollment data may have additional weights. In some embodiments, in the adaptation box 34, the error words are added w times to the SI model. In some embodiments, these weights are added to those of the SI model, although the invention is not limited to this. More complicated schemes could be used, but are not required.

It is important to not give too much weight to the enrollment data, because they are based on limited sampling.

In the above described embodiments, weights are only calculated for words for which there is an error in recognition. Alternatively, there could be weights (e.g., negative weights) for correctly recognized words. Note that in different embodiments the weights can be positive or negative depending on the scheme chosen.

Once the SD model is calculated in an adaptation mode, it is applied on path 40 for use by block 22 in a recognition mode, below the dashed line.

FIG. 4 illustrates that the feedback can be performed more than once until differences between H and R are less than a threshold (see decision block 36). (It could

be less than or equal to a threshold.) To determine whether the differences between H and R are less than a threshold, the various errors can be summed and then compared to a single threshold or different errors can be compared to separate thresholds. Other approaches could be used.

5 Note that in FIG. 4, the input to recognition block 22 changes with each pass. The utterances may be stored for re-use. The inputs to the adaptation block 24 also changes, however, if the difference between H and R is less than a threshold, then the previous enrollment data is the one applied to path 40 for use during recognition mode (decoding).

10 There are a variety of computer systems that may be used in training and using a speech recognition system. Merely as an example, FIG. 5 illustrates a highly schematic representation of a computer system 100 which includes a processor 114, memory 116, and input/output and control block 118. There may be a substantially amount of memory in processor 114 and memory 116 may represent both memory that is off the chip of processor 114 or memory that is partially on and partially off the chip of processor 114. (Or memory 116 could be completely on the chip of processor 114). At least some of the input/output and control block 118 could be on the same chip as processor 114, or be on a separate chip. A microphone 126, monitor 130, additional memory 134, and input devices (such as a keyboard and mouse 138), a network connection 142, and speaker(s) 144 may interface with input/output and control block 118. Memory 134 represents a variety of memory such as a hard drive and CD ROM or DVD discs. It is emphasized that the system of FIG. 1 is merely exemplary and the invention is not limited to use with such a computer system. Computer system 100 and other computer systems used to carry out the invention may be in a variety of forms, such as desktop, mainframe, and portable computers.

25 For example, FIG. 6 illustrates a handheld device 160, with a display 162, which may incorporate some or all the features of FIG. 5. The hand held device may at times interface with another computer system, such as that of FIG. 5. The shapes and relative sizes of the objects in FIG. 5 and 6 are not intended to suggest actual shapes and 30 relative sizes.

Various memories mentioned above (e.g., CD-ROM, flash memory, hard-drive) include computer readable storage mediums on which instructions may be stored which when executed cause some embodiments of the invention to occur.

If this disclosure states a component, feature, structure, or characteristic "may", "might", or "could" be included, that particular component, feature, structure, or characteristic is not required to be included. If the specification or claim refers to "a" or "an" element, that does not mean there is only one of the element. If the specification or claims refer to "an additional" element, that does not preclude there being more than one of the additional element.

Those skilled in the art having the benefit of this disclosure will appreciate that many other variations from the foregoing description and drawings may be made within the scope of the present invention. Accordingly, it is the following claims including any amendments thereto that define the scope of the invention.

## CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method comprising:

(a) calculating estimated weights for identified errors in recognition of

5      utterances;

(b) marking sections of the utterances as being misrecognized and associating the corresponding estimated weights with these sections of the utterances; and

(c) using the weighted sections of the utterances to convert a speaker independent model to a speaker dependent model.

10        2. The method of claim 1, wherein parts (a) – (c) are repeated at least once.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein the utterances are converted into a recognized phone string a first time through applying the speaker independent model and thereafter through applying the most recently obtained speaker dependent model.

15        4. The method of claim 1, wherein the estimated weights are computed through computing an average likelihood difference per frame and then computing a weight value by averaging the average likelihood difference over all the error words.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein average likelihood difference per frame is used to calculate the estimated weights and is computed according to the equation (1) as follows:

$$20 \quad Ln = \frac{H_L^n}{H_e^n - H_b^n} - \frac{R_L^n}{R_e^n - R_b^n} \quad (1),$$

where  $H_L^n$  is the log likelihood of hypothesis word n,  $H_b^n$  is the beginning frame index (in time), and  $H_e^n$  is the end frame index, and  $R_L^n$ ,  $R_b^n$  and  $R_e^n$  are counter parts for a reference string.

25        6. The method of claim 5, wherein the weight for misrecognized words of a particular speaker "i" is calculated according to equation (2) as follows:

$$W_i = \frac{1}{m} * \sum_{n=1}^m |Ln| \quad (2),$$

wherein m a number of misrecognized words.

2022ED028861001

7. The method of claim 1, wherein for a particular speaker, different misrecognized words may have a different weight.

8. A method comprising:

(a) recognizing utterances through converting the utterances into a recognized

5 phone string;

(b) comparing the recognized phone string with a reference phone string;

(c) calculating estimated weights for sections of the utterances;

(d) marking errors in the utterances and providing corresponding estimated weights to form adaptation enrollment data; and

10 (e) using the adaptation enrollment data to convert a speaker independent model to a speaker dependent model.

9. The method of claim 8, wherein the utterances are converted into the recognized phone string through applying the speaker independent model.

10. The method of claim 8, wherein parts (b) – (e) are repeated until differences between the reference and recognized strings are less than a threshold.

15 11. The method of claim 8, wherein the utterances are converted into a recognized phone string a first time through applying the speaker independent model and thereafter through applying the most recently obtained speaker dependent model.

12. The method of claim 8, wherein the estimated weights are computed 20 through computing an average likelihood difference per frame and then computing a weight value by averaging the average likelihood difference over all the error words.

13. The method of claim 8, wherein an average likelihood difference per frame is used to calculate the estimated weights and is calculated according to the equation (1) as follows:

$$25 L_n = \frac{H_L^n}{H_e^n - H_b^n} - \frac{R_L^n}{R_e^n - R_b^n} \quad (1),$$

where  $H_L^n$  is the log likelihood of hypothesis word n,  $H_b^n$  is the beginning frame index (in time), and  $H_e^n$  is the end frame index, and  $R_L^n$ ,  $R_b^n$  and  $R_e^n$  are counter parts for the reference string.

14. The method of claim 13, wherein the weight for misrecognized words of a particular speaker "i" is calculated according to equation (2) as follows:

$$W_i = \frac{1}{m} * \sum_{n=1}^m |L_n| \quad (2),$$

wherein m a number of misrecognized words.

5 15. The method of claim 8, wherein for a particular speaker, different misrecognized words may have a different weight.

16. A memory comprising:

a storage medium having instructions thereon which when executed cause a computer system to perform the following method:

10 (a) calculating estimated weights for identified errors in recognition of utterances;

(b) marking sections of the utterances as being misrecognized and associating the corresponding estimated weights with these sections of the utterances; and

(c) using the weighted sections of the utterances to convert a speaker independent model to a speaker dependent model.

15 17. The method of claim 16, wherein parts (a) – (c) are repeated at least once.

18. The method of claim 16, wherein the utterances are converted into a recognized phone string a first time through applying the speaker independent model and thereafter through applying the most recently obtained speaker dependent model.

20 19. The method of claim 16, wherein the estimated weights are computed through computing an average likelihood difference per frame and then computing a weight value by averaging the average likelihood difference over all the error words.

25 20. The method of claim 16, wherein average likelihood difference per frame is used to calculate the estimated weights and is computed according to the equation (1) as follows:

$$Ln = \frac{H_L^n}{H_e^n - H_b^n} - \frac{R_L^n}{R_e^n - R_b^n} \quad (1),$$

where  $H_L^n$  is the log likelihood of hypothesis word n,  $H_b^n$  is the beginning frame index (in time), and  $H_e^n$  is the end frame index, and  $R_L^n$ ,  $R_b^n$  and  $R_e^n$  are counter parts for a reference string.

21. The method of claim 20, wherein the weight for misrecognized words of a particular speaker "i" is calculated according to equation (2) as follows:

$$W_i = \frac{1}{m} * \sum_{n=1}^m |L_{ni}| \quad (2),$$

wherein m a number of misrecognized words.

22. The method of claim 16, wherein for a particular speaker, different misrecognized words may have a different weight.

10 23. A memory comprising:

a storage medium having instructions thereon which when executed cause a computer system to perform the following method:

(a) recognizing utterances through converting the utterances into a recognized phone string;

15 (b) comparing the recognized phone string with a reference phone string;

(c) calculating estimated weights for sections of the utterances;

(d) marking errors in the utterances and providing corresponding estimated weights to form adaptation enrollment data; and

20 (e) using the adaptation enrollment data to convert a speaker independent model to a speaker dependent model.

24. The method of claim 23, wherein the utterances are converted into the recognized phone string through applying the speaker independent model.

25 25. The method of claim 23, wherein parts (b) – (e) are repeated until differences between the reference and recognized strings are less than a threshold.

26. The method of claim 23, wherein the utterances are converted into a recognized phone string a first time through applying the speaker independent model and thereafter through applying the most recently obtained speaker dependent model.

27. The method of claim 23, wherein the estimated weights are computed through computing an average likelihood difference per frame and then computing a weight value by averaging the average likelihood difference over all the error words.

5 28. The method of claim 23, wherein an average likelihood difference per frame is used to calculate the estimated weights and is calculated according to the equation (1) as follows:

$$Ln = \frac{H_L^n}{H_e^n - H_b^n} - \frac{R_L^n}{R_e^n - R_b^n} \quad (1),$$

where  $H_L^n$  is the log likelihood of hypothesis word n,  $H_b^n$  is the beginning frame index (in time), and  $H_e^n$  is the end frame index, and  $R_L^n$ ,  $R_b^n$  and  $R_e^n$  are counter parts for the reference string.

10 29. The method of claim 28, wherein the weight for misrecognized words of a particular speaker "i" is calculated according to equation (2) as follows:

$$w_i = \frac{1}{m} * \sum_{n=1}^m |Ln| \quad (2),$$

wherein m a number of misrecognized words.

15 30. The method of claim 23, wherein for a particular speaker, different misrecognized words may have a different weight.

**Abstract of the Disclosure**

In some embodiments, the invention includes calculating estimated weights for identified errors in recognition of utterances. Sections of the utterances are marked as being misrecognized and the corresponding estimated weights are associated with these sections of the utterances. The weighted sections of the utterances are used to convert a speaker independent model to a speaker dependent model.

10

10019882-032702

1/4




FIG. 1

2/4




FIG. 2

{ Segment 1   Segment 2   • • •   Segment X }

Section (e.g., word)

FIG. 3

3/4




FIG. 4

4/4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6

10019882.041502  
S

Attorney's Docket No.: 42390.P8351



10019882  
15 APR 2002

PATENT

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION  
(FOR INTEL CORPORATION PATENT APPLICATIONS)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

SPEAKER ADAPTATION USING WEIGHTED FEEDBACK

the specification of which

is attached hereto.  
was filed on (MM/DD/YYYY) 06/15/2000 as  
United States Application Number \_\_\_\_\_  
or PCT International Application Number PCT/CN00/00158  
and was amended on (MM/DD/YYYY) \_\_\_\_\_  
(if applicable)

RECEIVED

JUN 10 2002

Technology Center 2600

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claim(s), as amended by any amendment referred to above. I do not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was ever known or used in the United States of America before my invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application, that the same was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, and that the invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (for a utility patent application) or six months (for a design patent application) prior to this application.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(a)-(d), of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)Priority  
Claimed

(Number)	(Country)	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

Application Number	(Filing Date – MM/DD/YYYY)
--------------------	----------------------------

Application Number	(Filing Date – MM/DD/YYYY)
--------------------	----------------------------

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:


Application Number	(Filing Date – MM/DD/YYYY)	Status -- patented, pending, abandoned
--------------------	----------------------------	-------------------------------------------

Application Number	(Filing Date – MM/DD/YYYY)	Status -- patented, pending, abandoned
--------------------	----------------------------	-------------------------------------------

I hereby appoint the persons listed on Appendix A hereto (which is incorporated by reference and a part of this document) as my respective patent attorneys and patent agents, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Send correspondence to John Patrick Ward, BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & (Name of Attorney or Agent)  
ZAFMAN LLP, 12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025 and direct telephone calls to John Patrick Ward, (408) 720-8300.  
(Name of Attorney or Agent)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

*YH*  
Full Name of Sole/First Inventor Yonghong Yan  
Inventor's Signature   
Residence Beaverton, Oregon (City, State) Citizenship P. R. China (Country) CNIX  
Post Office Address 20756 NW Amberview Lane  
Beaverton, Oregon 97006

110

APPENDIX A

William E. Alford, Reg. No. 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. 42,261; Peggy S. Avalos, Reg. No. 42,274; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Carol F. Barry, Reg. No. 41,600; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Lisa N. Benado, Reg. No. 39,995; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; R. Alan Burnett, Reg. No. 46,149; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Jae-Hee Choi, Reg. No. 45,288; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Robert P. Cogan, Reg. No. 25,049; Donna Ju Coningsby, Reg. No. 41,684; Florin Corie, Reg. No. 46,244; Mimi Diemmy Dao, Reg. No. 45,628; Dennis M. deGuzman, Reg. No. 41,702; Stephen M. De Clerk, Reg. No. 46,503; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Justin M. Dillon, Reg. No. 42,486; Sanjeet Dutta, Reg. No. 46,145; Matthew C. Fagan, Reg. No. 37,542; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; Thomas S. Ferrill, Reg. No. 42,532; Mark J. Fink, Reg. No. 45,270; George Fountain, Reg. No. 37,374; Andre Gibbs, Reg. No. 47,593; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; Alan Heimlich, Reg. No. P48,808; James A. Henry, Reg. No. 41,064; Libby H. Ho, Reg. No. 46,774; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. 41,845; Sheryl Sue Holloway, Reg. No. 37,850; George W. Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Sang Hui Kim, Reg. No. 40,450; Walter T. Kim, Reg. No. 42,731; Eric T. King, Reg. No. 44,188; Steve Laut, Reg. No. 47,736; George Brian Leavell, Reg. No. 45,436; Samuel S. Lee, Reg. No. 42,791; Gordon R. Lindeen III, Reg. No. 33,192; Jan Carol Little, Reg. No. 41,181; Julio Loza, Reg. No. 47,758; Joseph Lutz, Reg. No. 43,765; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, Reg. No. 48,095; Paul A. Mendonza, Reg. No. 42,879; Clive D. Menezes, Reg. No. 45,493; Richard A. Nakashima, Reg. No. 42,023; Stephen Neal Reg. No. 47,815; Chun M. Ng, Reg. No. 36,378; Thien T. Nguyen, Reg. No. 43,835; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 42,034; Robert B. O'Rourke, Reg. No. 46,972; Daniel E. Ovanezian, Reg. No. 41,236; Kenneth B. Paley, Reg. No. 38,989; Gregg A. Peacock, Reg. No. 45,001; Marina Portnova, Reg. No. 45,750; Michael A. Proksch, Reg. No. 43,021; Randol W. Read, Reg. No. 43,876; William F. Ryann, Reg. No. 44,313; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaaf, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Jeffrey S. Schubert, Reg. No. 43,098; George Simion, Reg. No. P47,089; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; Ronald S. Tamura, Reg. No. 43,179; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; Lance A. Termes, Reg. No. 43,184; John F. Travis, Reg. No. 43,203; Kerry P. Tweet, Reg. No. 45,959; Mark C. Van Ness, Reg. No. 39,865; Tom Van Zandt, Reg. No. 43,219; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; Archana B. Vital, Reg. No. 45,182; Glenn E. Von Tersch, Reg. No. 41,364; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Mark L. Watson, Reg. No. 46,322; Thomas C. Webster, Reg. No. 46,154; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my patent attorneys, and Firasat Ali, Reg. No. 45,715; Charles P. Landrum, Reg. No. 46,855; Suk S. Lee, Reg. No. 47,745; and Raul Martinez, Reg. No. 46,904; Brent E. Vecchia, Reg. No. P48,011; Lehua Wang, Reg. No. P48,023; my patent agents, of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, and Alan K. Aldous, Reg. No. 31,905; Ben Burge, Reg. No. 42,372; Robert A. Burtzlaff, Reg. No. 35,466; Richard C. Calderwood, Reg. No. 35,468; Jeffrey S. Draeger, Reg. No. 41,000; Cynthia Thomas Faatz, Reg. No. 39,973; Jeffrey B. Huter, Reg. No. 41,086; John Kacvinsky, Reg. No. 40,040; Seth Z. Kalsow, Reg. No. 40,670; David J. Kaplan, Reg. No. 41,105; Peter Lam, Reg. No. 44,855; Charles A. Mirho, Reg. No. 41,199; Paul Nagy, Reg. No. 37,896; Leo V. Novakoski, Reg. No. 37,198; Thomas C. Reynolds, Reg. No. 32,488; Kenneth M. Seddon, Reg. No. 43,105; Mark Seeley, Reg. No. 32,299; Steven P. Skabrat, Reg. No. 36,279; Howard A. Skalst, Reg. No. 36,008; Robert G. Winkle, Reg. No. 37,474; Sharon Wong, Reg. No. 37,760; Steven D. Yates, Reg. No. 42,242; Calvin E. Wells; Reg. No. 43,256 and Charles K. Young, Reg. No. 39,435; my patent attorneys, and my patent agents, of INTEL CORPORATION, with offices located at 2200 Mission College Blvd., Santa Clara, CA 95052, telephone (408) 765-8080; with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

## APPENDIX B

**Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56  
Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability**

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
  - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.